

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,420	11/01/2001	Katharine Russell	30841-703.201	3033	
21971 WILSON SON	7590 06/02/200 VSINI GOODRICH & F	EXAM	EXAMINER		
650 PAGE MILL ROAD			RUDY, A	RUDY, ANDREW J	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94304-1050	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3687			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/001,420	RUSSELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew Joseph Rudy	3687	

	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3687						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no thowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the milling date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: [160x] is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-HOEK BOX (b) HERNET HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (c) above, it crickeds. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianas with 27 CEB 44 27 must be 4	Elad within two wanths	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>\( \)\( \) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>\( \)\( \)\( \) They raise mew issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \</li></ul></li></ol>								
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The scope of the claims are altered. (See		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment /	OTOL 224)					
		ripliant Amendment (	- I OL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>								
non-allowable claim(s).		•						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Andrew Joseph Rudy/								
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3687						
	,							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)